

KAMEL 21-8-29-2

REMARKS

Claims 1-22 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-22 are subject to a restriction requirement.

I. Restriction Requirement

5 The Office Action asserts that claims 1-11, as a first grouping and claims 12-22, as a second grouping are drawn to separate and distinct inventions, and requires restriction by the Applicants to the prosecution in this application for only one claim grouping. While Applicants are not in agreement with the Office Action position, and seek reconsideration hereafter, they provisionally elect the invention of claims 1-11 for examination herein, with
10 traverse.

 The invention disclosed in the present application is directed to a method of communicating data bursts via a wireless communications channel in which transmission parameters are managed as a function of a selected radio configuration. As will be apparent from the submitted claims, the independent claims in each of the identified grouping are drawn
15 to such a method of wireless communication, with the independent claim of the first grouping (claim 1) being further directed to the transmission aspect of the method of communication and the independent claim of the second grouping (claim 12) being further directed to the reception aspect of such a method of communication.

 The Applicants therefore respectfully submit that all of the submitted claims are drawn
20 to common inventive subject matter. The identified claim groupings are neither independent nor distinct, but rather are drawn to particular embodiments of the disclosed method of communicating data burst over a wireless channel. Given such a common element of the two

KAMEL 21-8-29-2

identified claim groupings, Applicants further submit that examination of all claims in a single application would not impose a serious burden on the Examiner. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Examiner's determination that the initially presented claims of this application are directed to two separate inventions. Withdrawal of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner should feel that the application is not yet in a condition for allowance and that a telephone interview would be useful, he is invited to contact Applicants' attorney, John Ligon, at (973) 386-4237.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin I. Finston
Reg. No. 31,613
Attorney for Applicant

Date: July 31, 2007

Docket Administrator (Room 2F-190)
Alcatel Lucent
600 Mountain Avenue
P. O. Box 636
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636

I hereby certify that this Response to Office Action is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 on July 31, 2007.

By: 
Margaret Cardoso